Application No. Applicant(s) SERIZAWA, HIDEYUKI 09/769,915 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2828 Armando Rodriguez All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Armando Rodriguez. (2) Joseph Sofer. Date of Interview: 29 March 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant e) X No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 18. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative agreed to provide a proposed written submission to better clarify the structural combination of independent claim 18 pertaining to the recited limitation of the "selector" and the "driving circuits". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required